

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: _____
DATE FILED: 07 31 08

397-08/MEU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

08 CV 611

-----X
 GOOD EARTH MARITIME,

Plaintiff,

- against -

PARASOL ENTERPRISES LTD., and
 TORBAPAK MADENCILIK SANAYI
 VE TICARET A.S.,

Defendant.
 -----X

**ORDER DIRECTING CLERK
 TO ISSUE PROCESS OF
 MARITIME ATTACHMENT
 AND GARNISHMENT;
 APPOINTING PERSON TO
 SERVE PROCESS PURSUANT
 TO RULE 4(c); AND
 CONCERNING SCOPE OF
SERVICE**

Upon reading and filing the Verified Complaint of the Plaintiff herein, verified on the 28th day of July, 2008, and the Affidavit of Michael Unger, sworn to on the same day, that to the best of his information and belief Defendants PARASOL ENTERPRISES LTD. and TORBAPAK MADENCILIK SANAYI VE TICARET A.S. cannot be found within this District for the purpose of an attachment under Supplemental Rule B(1) and in support of an order appointing a special process server pursuant to Rule 4(c), and the Court having found that the conditions required by Rule B(1) of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure exist, and good cause having been shown,

NOW, upon motion of Freehill Hogan & Mahar, LLP, attorneys for the Plaintiff, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court is directed forthwith to issue the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment for seizure of all tangible and intangible property of the Defendants, as described therein, including but not limited to any property of the Defendants such as any cash, funds, escrow funds, debts, credits, wire transfers, electronic funds transfers, accounts, letters of credit, freights, sub-freights, charter hire, sub-charter hire, and/or other assets of, belonging to, due or being transferred to, from, or for the benefit of Defendants PARASOL

ENTERPRISES LTD. and TORBAPAK MADENCILIK SANAYI VE TICARET A.S. (collectively, "ASSETS"), including but not limited to such ASSETS as may be held, received or transferred in their names or as may be held, received or transferred for their benefit, at, through, or within the possession, custody or control of banking institutions, and/or other garnishee(s) on whom a copy of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment may be served, in the amount of **\$168,064.86**, pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and it is further

O R D E R E D that supplemental process enforcing the Court's Order may be issued and served without further Order of the Court; and it is further


O R D E R E D that Susan Lee, Robert Ridenour, Joan Sorrentino, Christina Gargano, or any other partner, associate, paralegal or other agent of Freehill Hogan & Mahar LLP be and is hereby appointed, in addition to the United States Marshal, to serve the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment and the Verified Complaint, together with a copy of this Order and any interrogatories, upon garnishee(s), together with any other garnishee(s) who (based upon information developed subsequent hereto by the Plaintiff) may hold assets of, for, or on behalf of the Defendant; and it is further

O R D E R E D that following initial service upon any garnishee by the United States Marshal or any other person designated by this or any subsequent Order to make service in this action, supplemental service of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment may thereafter be made by way of facsimile transmission or other verifiable electronic means, including e-mail, to each garnishee so personally served, such service to be in accordance with each garnishee's preference or policy, and such facsimile or other electronic transmission shall

be deemed to be made within the district if it has been sent from within the district; and it is further

O R D E R E D that service on any garnishee herein is deemed to be effective and continuous service throughout the remainder of the day upon which such service is made commencing from the time of such service, and it is further deemed to be effective through the end of the next business day, provided another service is made the next business day.

Dated: New York, New York
July 30, 2008



U.S.D.J.